

# Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board

## Small Business Impact Statement

**Date:** August 10, 2016

**Rule Number:** 20 CSR 2150-6.050 – Athletic Trainer Licensure Fees (Rule)

**Name of Agency Preparing Statement:** DIFP, Professional Registration, State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts

**Name of Person Preparing Statement:** Connie Clarkston

**Phone Number:** (573) 751-0098

**Email:** connie.clarkston@pr.mo.gov

**Name of Person Approving Statement:** Kathleen Steele Danner

**Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses** (*\$536.300 RSMo examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique*).

Based on the analysis of the following documents, a fee decrease was deemed necessary to avoid an automatic transfer of the Board’s funds to the general revenue fund.

- The monthly fund balance worksheet, which is reflective of the actual amounts of revenue received and expenditures and transfers paid from the Board’s fund.
- The 5-year projection worksheet which is used to forecast the Board’s budget for each of the next five (5) fiscal years.

The permanent fee reduction was compared to a one-time fee decrease. The table below is a summary of the findings.

Fee Decrease Analysis as of June 6, 2016							
Fiscal Year Projections	Beginning Fund Balance	Projected Biennium Sweep Calculations					
		Fund Level Without Fee Decrease	Sweep Amount Without Fee Decrease	Fund Level With Permanent Fee Decrease	Sweep Amount With Permanent Decrease	With One Time Fee Decrease	Sweep Amount One Time Decrease
FY 2016	\$ 9,154,468.12	2.97				2.97	
FY 2017	\$10,457,010.28	3.24	\$0.00	2.86	\$0.00	2.86	\$0.00
FY 2018	\$11,381,942.70	3.64		2.84		3.25	
FY 2019	\$12,732,447.66	3.90	\$1,675,243	2.72	\$0.00	3.51	\$297,378
FY 2020	\$13,609,842.81	4.30		2.70		3.37	

**Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.** (*Open meetings, newsletters, website, listserv notices*)

The Board discussed the financial reports during the January and April 2016 meetings and on their June 5 and June 26, 2016 conference calls. These discussions were held in open session. Members of the public, which included medical association representatives and Board, were given an opportunity to speak following the Board's discussions. No opposition was presented.

**Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.**

No additional fees will be collected. The proposal will result in a reduction of fees and a corresponding decrease in the board's fund.

**Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.**

Missouri licensed athletic trainers and applicants will benefit from this reduction in fees.

**Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.**

The amended language is fee decreases which will result in an estimated cost savings of \$34,730 for licensed athletic trainers and applicants. Fiscal notes have been filed in accordance with sections 536.200 and 536.205, RSMo.

**Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.**

Missouri licensed athletic trainers and employers of athletic trainers will benefit from this fee reduction.

**Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?**

Yes\_\_\_ No\_X\_\_

**If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.**

*For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.*