

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board

Small Business Impact Statement

Date: June 24, 2016

Rule Number: 20 CSR 2150-2.001 – Definitions (Rescission)

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: DIFP, Professional Registration, Missouri State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Connie Clarkston

Phone Number: (573) 751-0098

Email: connie.clarkston@pr.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Kathleen Steele Danner

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (*§536.300 RSMo examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique*).

The Board drafted one rule defining terms that are applicable to all physicians rather than having multiple rules that define terms for each license category.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Since the passage of SB716, the Board's website has included information about assistant physician laws and provides the public with rule updates.

SB 716 and the draft rules for licensure were discussed at the following meetings and conference calls of the State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts:

- September 7, 2014 – Conference Call
- October 23-24, 2014 – Board Meeting
- October 27, 2014 – Conference Call
- January 22-23, 2015 – Board Meeting
- April 28, 2015 – Meeting with Deans of Medical Schools and Primary Care Residency Programs
- May 14-15, 2015 Board Meeting
- July 23-24, 2015 – Board Meeting
- September 21, 2015 – Assistant Physician Committee Conference Call
- September 27, 2015 – Conference Call
- October 22-23, 2015 Board Meeting

Following the May 14-15, 2015 board meeting, approximately 24,500 individuals and entities were notified of the draft rules. A summary of the feedback and comments were reviewed by the Board at the July 23-24, 2015 Board meeting. The Board received the following feedback:

- 25 Comments to Support the Rules
- 12 Comments in Opposition
- 21 Comments with Questions
- 100 Comments with Suggested Changes

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

This proposed rescission bears no cost to the Board or its licensees or applicants.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Licensees, applicants and members of the public will benefit from clearly defined terms.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

This proposed rescission bears no direct or indirect costs for applicants or licensees.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Physicians, assistant physicians and consumers of healthcare will benefit from this rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes _____ No X

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.