

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: October 24, 2016

Rule Number: 20 CSR 2030-19.020 – Required Work Order Form

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: DIFP, Professional Registration, Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors, and Professional Landscape Architects

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Judy Kempker

Phone Number: 573-751-0047 **Email:** judy.kempker@pr.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Kathleen Steele Danner

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (*examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique*).

This rule is being amended to add the word “professional” in front of landscape architect(s) due to passage of SB 809 (2014) which was effective August 28, 2014. While the rule is being amended, the board also decided to add the word “professional land” in front of surveyor to be consistent with statute.

The board’s name will change in this rule to read Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors and Professional Landscape Architects.

It changes the name of the board to be in compliance with changes to section 327.031, RSMo made by SB 809, which became effective on August 28, 2014.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

All of our professional land surveyor licensees have a stake in this as well as the Missouri Society of Professional Surveyors (MSPS). These proposed rescissions, rules, and amendments were discussed at a Special Meeting of the Professional Land Surveying Division, the State Land Surveyor, and representatives from MSPS on January 24, 2010 and again at a Special Meeting of the Professional Land Surveying Division and the State Land Surveyor on April 25, 2013. These proposed changes were also discussed at the Board’s May 7, 2013 and November 5, 2013, open forums which were held with the area licensees and at the Board’s January 27, 2014, May 5, 2014,

August 5, 2014, November 11, 2014, and January 27, 2015 open quarterly meetings. They were also published on the Board's website soliciting comment. The Board Members (especially those who are small business owners) considered the impact that these proposed changes would have on small businesses and determined that there would be no additional cost to small businesses.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

There are no monetary costs or benefits to this board related to the compliance with or implementation of this amendment.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

No additional action on the part of the licensees or their employers, many of which are small businesses, is expected; nor, is a fiscal impact expected to hit any small business owners due to this proposed amendment. It is merely adding the word "professional" in front of their titles.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

No financial impact is perceived.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

This amendment will not adversely affect any small businesses or licensees.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes ___ No X

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.