

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board

Small Business Impact Statement

Date: October 24, 2016

Rule Number: 20 CSR 2030-17.040 Procedures for Filing Documents

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: DIFP, Professional Registration, Missouri Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Professional Land Surveyors, and Professional Landscape Architects

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Judy Kempker

Phone Number: 573-751-0047 **Email:** judy.kempker@pr.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Kathleen Steele Danner

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (*examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique*).

All professional land surveyors are currently meeting land survey standards. The new rules neither add nor detract from anything adhered to by professional land surveyors. The assumption is that whatever it currently costs a professional land surveyor to meet the existing standard, will be no more than that to meet the new standard. No additional action on the part of the licensees or their employers, many of which are small businesses, is expected; nor, is a fiscal impact expected to hit any small business owners due to these proposed rescissions, rules, and amendments.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

These proposed rescissions, rules, and amendments were discussed at a Special Meeting of the Professional Land Surveying Division, the State Land Surveyor, and representatives from MSPS on January 24, 2010 and again at a Special Meeting of the Professional Land Surveying Division and the State Land Surveyor on April 25, 2013. These proposed changes were also discussed at the Board's May 7, 2013 and November 5, 2013, open forums which were held with the area licensees (many of which are small business owners) and at the Board's January 27, 2014, May 5, 2014, August 5, 2014, November 11, 2014, and January 27, 2015 open quarterly meetings. They were also published on the Board's website soliciting comment. The Board Members (especially those who are small business owners) considered the impact that

these proposed changes would have on small businesses and determined that there would be no additional cost to small businesses.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

There is no cost incurred by the Board or to any other state agency or political subdivision.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

There are approximately 300 land surveying companies in Missouri, many of which are described as small businesses. There will be no cost incurred because of these rule changes. All professional land surveyors are currently meeting land survey standards. The new rules neither add nor detract from anything adhered to by professional land surveyors. The assumption is that whatever it currently costs a professional land surveyor to meet the existing standard, will be no more than that to meet the new standard. No additional action on the part of the licensees or their employers, many of which are small businesses, is expected; nor, is a fiscal impact expected to hit any small business owners due to these proposed rescissions, rules, and amendments.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

No business should be affected by these changes or bear any additional cost since it will be no more to meet the new standard than what it currently costs a professional land surveyor to meet the existing standard.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No X

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.